

RADVISION SCOPIA Solution Vs. Cisco

The RADVISION Approach

| Room Systems | Telepresence | Software Desktop |
|---|--|---|
| High performance – dual 1080p/60fps, HD audio, H.264 High Profile & H.264 SVC Price / performance leader Unique iPad control Unique SMB integrated offering with HD room system, MCU, desktop & mobile conferencing Simple, extendible product line | Flexible deployment options Simple to use iPad control Integrator friendly setup software Native multi-party telepresence conferencing 3rd party telepresence interoperability Cost-effective | Mature, holistic approach Viral, free client Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Embedded firewall & NAT traversal Full moderation & control Innovative 'Slider' technology to review previous slides |
| Executive Desktop | Mobile | Management |
| High performance all-in-one system One click to share your screen One device on your desk Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Aggressive price positioning | SCOPIA Image: Scope of the second state of the s | Image: Sector of the sector |
| Multi-party Conferencing | Unified Communications | Cloud-based Services |
| Telepresence interoperability Patented MCU virtualization Dynamic resources Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Superior media handling | Microsoft BM Lotus Alcatel-Lucent UC integration at core Investment protection UC interoperability | Accessibility – any place or device Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Scalability & uptime (Virtualization, ATCA, redundant architecture) Unmatched interoperability Converged client management |

The RADVISION Approach Vs. Cisco

| Poom Systems | | Software Deckton |
|--|---|--|
| Room Systems | Telepresence | Software Desktop |
| High performance? | Flexible Deployment options? | Mature, holistic approach? |
| No dual 1080p/60fps from Cisco | Rigid full room solutions severely | Movi is an option to TMS and |
| Best embedded MCU supports 4 | limit installation options | doesn't include everything needed; |
| sites max. | 2x RADVISION's bandwidth | but does require a full-blown Cisco |
| No H.264 High Profile or H.264 SVC | requirement further limits options | deployment for basic video comm. |
| Price / Performance leader? | Simple to use iPad control? | Viral, free client? |
| Similarly featured SX20 w/dual | Dedicated control devices. Get ready | Movi needs individual client licenses |
| display & premium resolution – | to spend a lot more money on fancy | making it difficult for students, |
| costs ~ 1.7 x SCOPIA XT5000 | controllers | customers, partners, remote |
| Unique iPad Contoller? Proprietary, expensive touch devices | Integrator friendly setup software? Setup is more mechanical vs. | employees, clients, etc. to conference |
| | Setup is more mechanical vs. RADVISION's software tool | |
| <u>Unique SMB offering?</u> Entry level solution for SMB consists | <u>Cost-effective?</u> | Embedded firewall & NAT traversal? No – Cisco requires VCS Expressway |
| of 5 different products @ over | 2 to 3 RADVISION deployments for | for this fundamental requirement |
| \$100K, no free client, only 4 port | the price of one Cisco | Full moderation & control? |
| embedded MCU | Don't forget bandwidth costs of 2x | No – Movi is only a basic client |
| Simple, extendible product line? | Don't longet bandwidth costs of 2x | without even a participant list |
| Complicated product line with many | | Innovative 'Slider' technology to review |
| options for each system. Need | | previous slides? |
| significant training to quote | | Do you mind me interrupting and |
| significant training to quote | | going back over the slides? |
| Executive Desktop | Mobile | Management |
| One device on the desk? | Mature, holistic approach? | Patented MCU virtualization? |
| Base EX90 @ \$10,000 eats up | For \$1,500, Cisco offers a 7" tablet | No scalable solution for ad-hoc |
| considerable space on your desktop | (Cius) with their own app store | conferencing |
| with a separate handset and | (Cisco AppHQ) – are they serious? | No ability to distribute on-demand |
| proprietary touch controller! | No Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) | conferences to multiple MCUs! |
| Internet optimized media handling (SVC, | with Cisco | Severely limited scale & number of |
| NetSense)? | Wi-Fi, 3G & 4G, high quality media | participants per conference |
| Nothing for home office workers – | handling? | No distributed conference entry via |
| designed really for corporate offices | Only Wi-Fi from Cisco | IVR |
| without regard to cost or network | Embedded firewall & NAT traversal? | |
| issues | No as usual | |
| Aggressive Price Positioning? | Full moderation & control? | |
| (3) SCOPIA VC240 @ \$3,000 < (1) | Only a basic client | |
| EX90 @ \$10,000; but you do get a | Innovative 'Slider' technology to review | |
| mini integrated subwoofer for the | previous slides? | |
| extra \$7,000 😇 | And again, no | |
| Multi-party Conferencing | Unified Communications | Cloud-based Services |
| Telepresence interoperability? | Microsoft? | Accessibility – any place or device? |
| Limited to Cisco TIP, no LifeSize or | No strategic partnership | Nothing for the most popular mobile |
| RADVISION TP | No Microsoft officially qualified | devices ever (iPhone & iPad) |
| Patented MCU virtualization? | solution for any Cisco video | Desktop not practical with no |
| No scalable solution with distributed | conferencing device | integrated firewall traversal & |
| MCUs for ad-hoc conferencing | UC integration at core? | complicated licensing model |
| No ability to distribute on-demand | Gateway solution available but more | Internet optimized media handling (SVC, |
| conferences at all! | than 2x RADVISION price | NetSense)? |
| Severely limited scale & participants | For multi-party, must connect to | No & Cisco's general direction is |
| per conference | Cisco MCU ports (even Lync clients); | about higher bandwidth |
| Dynamic resources? | every port is an expensive HD port | requirements and not doing much |
| HD consumes same resources as dockton & mobile | Investment protection? Can there really be investment | for bandwidth compromised users – |
| desktop & mobile | | home office, road warriors, mobile workers |
| Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense)? | protection from Cisco for UC when they view other UC vendors as | Scalability & uptime (Virtualization, ATCA, |
| Nothing available to preserve quality | competitors and want to replace | redundant architecture)? |
| for Internet users where needed | them? | Cisco has no scalable multi-party |
| most – home office users, road | UC interoperability? | solution as they have no practical |
| warriors, mobile workers | Cisco is a not a UCIF member and | distributed / virtual architecture |
| Superior media handling? | one should question their future | |
| CP mode participants displayed: | interop. plans | |
| Elite – 28, Cisco – 16 | | |
| Max. connections per MCU: | | |
| Elite -120 , Cisco -40 | | |
| , 0.000 10 | 1 | |