

RADVISION SCOPIA Solution Vs. Cisco

The RADVISION Approach

Room Systems	Telepresence	Software Desktop
 High performance – dual 1080p/60fps, HD audio, H.264 High Profile & H.264 SVC Price / performance leader Unique iPad control Unique SMB integrated offering with HD room system, MCU, desktop & mobile conferencing Simple, extendible product line 	 Flexible deployment options Simple to use iPad control Integrator friendly setup software Native multi-party telepresence conferencing 3rd party telepresence interoperability Cost-effective 	 Mature, holistic approach Viral, free client Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Embedded firewall & NAT traversal Full moderation & control Innovative 'Slider' technology to review previous slides
Executive Desktop	Mobile	Management
 High performance all-in-one system One click to share your screen One device on your desk Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Aggressive price positioning 	 SCOPIA Image: Scope of the second state of the s	Image: Sector of the sector
Multi-party Conferencing	Unified Communications	Cloud-based Services
 Telepresence interoperability Patented MCU virtualization Dynamic resources Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Superior media handling 	 Microsoft BM Lotus Alcatel-Lucent UC integration at core Investment protection UC interoperability 	 Accessibility – any place or device Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense) Scalability & uptime (Virtualization, ATCA, redundant architecture) Unmatched interoperability Converged client management

The RADVISION Approach Vs. Cisco

Poom Systems		Software Deckton
Room Systems	Telepresence	Software Desktop
High performance?	Flexible Deployment options?	Mature, holistic approach?
 No dual 1080p/60fps from Cisco 	 Rigid full room solutions severely 	 Movi is an option to TMS and
 Best embedded MCU supports 4 	limit installation options	doesn't include everything needed;
sites max.	 2x RADVISION's bandwidth 	but does require a full-blown Cisco
 No H.264 High Profile or H.264 SVC 	requirement further limits options	deployment for basic video comm.
Price / Performance leader?	Simple to use iPad control?	Viral, free client?
 Similarly featured SX20 w/dual 	 Dedicated control devices. Get ready 	 Movi needs individual client licenses
display & premium resolution –	to spend a lot more money on fancy	making it difficult for students,
costs ~ 1.7 x SCOPIA XT5000	controllers	customers, partners, remote
Unique iPad Contoller? Proprietary, expensive touch devices	Integrator friendly setup software? Setup is more mechanical vs.	employees, clients, etc. to conference
	 Setup is more mechanical vs. RADVISION's software tool 	
 <u>Unique SMB offering?</u> Entry level solution for SMB consists 	<u>Cost-effective?</u>	Embedded firewall & NAT traversal? No – Cisco requires VCS Expressway
of 5 different products @ over	 2 to 3 RADVISION deployments for 	for this fundamental requirement
\$100K, no free client, only 4 port	the price of one Cisco	Full moderation & control?
embedded MCU	 Don't forget bandwidth costs of 2x 	 No – Movi is only a basic client
Simple, extendible product line?	Don't longet bandwidth costs of 2x	without even a participant list
 Complicated product line with many 		Innovative 'Slider' technology to review
options for each system. Need		previous slides?
significant training to quote		 Do you mind me interrupting and
significant training to quote		going back over the slides?
Executive Desktop	Mobile	Management
One device on the desk?	Mature, holistic approach?	Patented MCU virtualization?
 Base EX90 @ \$10,000 eats up 	 For \$1,500, Cisco offers a 7" tablet 	 No scalable solution for ad-hoc
considerable space on your desktop	(Cius) with their own app store	conferencing
with a separate handset and	(Cisco AppHQ) – are they serious?	 No ability to distribute on-demand
proprietary touch controller!	 No Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) 	conferences to multiple MCUs!
Internet optimized media handling (SVC,	with Cisco	 Severely limited scale & number of
NetSense)?	Wi-Fi, 3G & 4G, high quality media	participants per conference
 Nothing for home office workers – 	handling?	 No distributed conference entry via
designed really for corporate offices	 Only Wi-Fi from Cisco 	IVR
without regard to cost or network	Embedded firewall & NAT traversal?	
issues	 No as usual 	
Aggressive Price Positioning?	Full moderation & control?	
 (3) SCOPIA VC240 @ \$3,000 < (1) 	 Only a basic client 	
EX90 @ \$10,000; but you do get a	Innovative 'Slider' technology to review	
mini integrated subwoofer for the	previous slides?	
extra \$7,000 😇	 And again, no 	
Multi-party Conferencing	Unified Communications	Cloud-based Services
Telepresence interoperability?	Microsoft?	Accessibility – any place or device?
 Limited to Cisco TIP, no LifeSize or 	 No strategic partnership 	 Nothing for the most popular mobile
RADVISION TP	 No Microsoft officially qualified 	devices ever (iPhone & iPad)
Patented MCU virtualization?	solution for any Cisco video	 Desktop not practical with no
 No scalable solution with distributed 	conferencing device	integrated firewall traversal &
MCUs for ad-hoc conferencing	UC integration at core?	complicated licensing model
 No ability to distribute on-demand 	 Gateway solution available but more 	Internet optimized media handling (SVC,
conferences at all!	than 2x RADVISION price	NetSense)?
 Severely limited scale & participants 	 For multi-party, must connect to 	 No & Cisco's general direction is
per conference	Cisco MCU ports (even Lync clients);	about higher bandwidth
Dynamic resources?	every port is an expensive HD port	requirements and not doing much
 HD consumes same resources as dockton & mobile 	Investment protection? Can there really be investment	for bandwidth compromised users –
desktop & mobile		home office, road warriors, mobile workers
Internet optimized media handling (SVC, NetSense)?	protection from Cisco for UC when they view other UC vendors as	Scalability & uptime (Virtualization, ATCA,
 Nothing available to preserve quality 	competitors and want to replace	redundant architecture)?
for Internet users where needed	them?	 Cisco has no scalable multi-party
most – home office users, road	UC interoperability?	solution as they have no practical
warriors, mobile workers	 Cisco is a not a UCIF member and 	distributed / virtual architecture
Superior media handling?	one should question their future	
 CP mode participants displayed: 	interop. plans	
Elite – 28, Cisco – 16		
 Max. connections per MCU: 		
Elite -120 , Cisco -40		
, 0.000 10	1	